

Successful Approach to Industry Program Baseline Market Characterization

Paula Claudino, B.Eng & Mgmt, CEM

Manager, ICF Marbek

July 31, 2012



Outline

- Background
- Objectives
- Approach and Methodology
- Analysis of Results
- Key Challenges
- Lessons Learned
- Questions

Background

- Ontario Power Authority (**OPA**) launched:
 - Industrial Accelerator Program (**IAP**) in June 2010 to target direct connect (**DX**) customers
 - Process and Systems Upgrade Initiative (**PSUI**) in April 2011 to target customers (**TX**) served by the local distributions companies (LDCs)

Objectives

- Scope and main objectives of the study were to:
 - Identify **shifts in the industrial market** that would signal market transformation over time
 - Estimate **saturation levels** of energy efficient technologies
 - Provide input for project **savings attribution**, such as free-ridership and spillover effects
 - Provide **input for program design and program delivery** of current and future OPA programs

Approach & Methodology

- There were four main tasks involved in preparing for and collecting information
 - **Task 1:** Define required information
 - **Task 2:** Define data sources and strategies
 - **Task 3:** Develop data collection instruments
 - **Task 4:** Perform Data Collection

Approach and Methodology

Task 1: Define required information

| Category | Information Requirement |
|---|--|
| Technology | General trends for equipment replacement, maintenance, and spending patterns |
| | Size, efficiency, age, quantity, and hours of operation of existing equipment, effective useful life of equipment |
| | Technologies and processes in use |
| | Updated list of potential energy efficiency measures |
| Energy Efficiency Experience | Areas of EE experience, sources of information, and training requirements |
| | Participation in and perception of other incentive programs |
| Decision Making Procedures for EE Projects | Policies and procedures, "influencers", hurdle rates, ranking of electricity prices, factors in equipment selection process, risk aversion |

Approach and Methodology

Task 2: Define data sources and strategies

- Review of existing documents
- KAM interviews
- TX & DX customer surveys
- TX & DX customer interviews
- Supply chain and service provider interviews

Approach and Methodology

| Category | Information Requirement | Research Activity |
|---|--|---|
| Technology | General trends for equipment replacement, maintenance, and spending patterns | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KAM interviews ▪ TX customer surveys and interviews ▪ Supply chain and service provider interview |
| | Size, efficiency, age, quantity, and hours of operation of existing equipment, effective useful life of equipment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KAM interviews ▪ TX customer surveys and interviews |
| | Technologies and processes in use | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review of existing documents Supply chain and service provider interview ▪ KAM interviews |
| | Updated list of potential energy efficiency measures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review of existing documents |
| Energy Efficiency Experience | Areas of EE experience, sources of information, and training requirements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ TX customer surveys and interviews |
| | Participation in and perception of other incentive programs | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KAM interviews ▪ TX customer surveys and interviews |
| Decision Making Procedures for EE Projects | Policies and procedures, "influencers", hurdle rates, ranking of electricity prices, factors in equipment selection process, risk aversion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ KAM interviews ▪ TX customer surveys and interviews ▪ Supply chain and service provider interview |

Approach and Methodology

Task 3: Develop data collection instruments

- KAM Interview Guide
- TX Customer Surveys (one per sub sector)
- DX Customer Surveys (one generic guide)
- TX & DX Customer Interview Guide
- Supply Chain and Service Provider Interview Guide

Approach and Methodology

Task 4: Data Collection

| Data Collection Activity | Population | Target Sample | Achieved Sample |
|--|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| KAM interviews | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| TX Customer Surveys | 58 | 30 | 30 |
| DX Customer Surveys | ~thousands | 30 | 27 |
| TX Customer Interviews | 30 | 15 | 17 |
| DX Customer Interviews | 27 | 15 | 14 |
| Supply Chain and Service Provider Interviews | ~thousands | 15 | 17 |

TX customer sample distribution

| Sub Sector | Population Size | Survey Sample | Interview Sample |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Automotive | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Cement | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Food | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Mining | 14 | 6 | 2 |
| Paper | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| Petroleum | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| Plastic | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Metal | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| Timber | 2 | 2 | 1 |

DX customer sample distribution

| Sub Sector | Survey Sample | Interview Sample |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Aerospace | 1 | 1 |
| Automotive | 3 | 2 |
| Cement Manufacturing | 2 | 1 |
| Food and Beverage Manufacturing | 2 | 1 |
| Miscellaneous Manufacturing | 5 | 1 |
| Metal Forming | 5 | 2 |
| Paper Manufacturing | 1 | - |
| Plastics Manufacturing | 2 | 2 |
| Publishing | 1 | - |
| Steel | 2 | 2 |
| Textiles | 2 | 1 |
| Wood Products Manufacturing | 1 | 1 |

Analysis of Results

- Results were analyzed to find areas of **consensus, variance**, and **general trends** in the following areas:
 - Equipment Baseline
 - Energy Efficiency Experience in Industry
 - Level of Service Provided by Supply Chain and Service Providers
 - Decision-Making Procedures in Industry
 - Key Challenges Facing Industry

Analysis of Results

- Market Transformation Indicators **(MTIs)** were defined, based on the results of the data collection activities
- These MTIs can be used to **track the impact** of the programs **over time**

Analysis of Results

- The proposed MTIs are categorized into four main areas:
 - Equipment Efficiency
 - Energy Efficiency Experience in Industry
 - Supply Chain and Service Providers
 - Decision-Making Procedures in Industry

Analysis of Results

Equipment Efficiency

- Reduction in missed opportunities
 - Percentage of companies reporting that all feasible opportunities identified to improve energy efficiency were undertaken
- Market penetration of each set of efficiency measures
 - Implementation of each of the given industrial best practices, where applicable, by end use

Analysis of Results

Energy Efficiency Experience in Industry

- EMP Development
 - Percentage of participants who have developed an appropriate EMP
- EMIS Implementation
 - Percentage of participants who have implemented an EMIS
- Active control of electricity costs
 - Percentage of participants who report being actively engaged in controlling electricity costs
- ISO 50001 Certification
 - Percentage of participants who have ISO 50001 certification

Analysis of Results

Supply Chain and Service Providers

- Promotion of energy efficient technologies and equipment
 - Percentage of companies in the supply chain who report actively promoting EE technologies and equipment
- Awareness of OPA programs
 - Percentage of companies in the supply chain that are familiar with OPA programs that would benefit their clients
- Comfort level with OPA programs
 - Percentage of companies actively using available programs to promote their own equipment sales or services

Analysis of Results

Decision-Making Procedures in Industry

- **Formal equipment replacement policies**
 - Percentage companies with formal policies in place to replace worn out equipment with high efficiency equipment
- **Reduced ROI requirements for energy efficiency projects**
 - Percentage of companies who report that they use a different ROI requirement when evaluating an energy efficiency project versus other capital projects

Key Challenges

- It can be **difficult to recruit** companies to participate in surveys
- Some sub sectors appear to be much less willing to participate, which can **skew the sample**
- It is **difficult to segment** the market appropriately

Lessons Learned

- Including the **KAMs** in the recruitment process was a key success factor in recruiting plants for the survey and interview process
- The **interviews**, following completion of the remote surveys, were beneficial
- Both the surveys and interviews were a **good length and level of detail**



Questions?



Save the Date

Oct. 15-17, 2012

AESP's Fall Conference
Long Beach, CA

Jan. 28-31, 2013

AESP's 23rd National
Conference & Expo
Orlando, FL

Apr. 29-May 1, 2013

AESP's Spring Conference
Dallas, TX

For more information - www.aesp.org

